

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,065	11/17/2003	Carlos Alberto Mota	2003B122	7031
23455	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY 5200 BAYWAY DRIVE			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
P.O. BOX 21		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN,	TX 77522-2149	1713		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astice Comments	10/715,065	MOTA, CARLOS ALBERTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberto Rábago	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	uly 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Aug. 1						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2003, 5/18/2005	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
7/16/2005	3, <u> </u>					

EL

Application/Control Number: 10/715,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prior rejections under 35 USC 112(2) are withdrawn in view of amendment.

Specification

2. The amendment to the specification at paragraphs numbered as [0016] and [0028] is objected as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(i) which requires unambiguous identification of a replacement paragraph. Paragraph numbers [0016] and [0028] do not exist in the specification as filed; therefore, the point of replacement cannot be determined, and these two paragraphs have not been entered. Applicant is required to cancel the insertion of paragraphs [0016] and [0028], or to identify where these paragraph numbers may be found. Applicants are advised that argument asserting that the paragraph numbers should be changed to different paragraph numbers will not be deemed responsive unless accompanied by cancellation of the prior requested insertion of paragraphs [0016] and [0028] and presentation of new replacement paragraphs with correct paragraph number identification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,065 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

(a) Claims 1-22 are indefinite because the intended scope of the following parameters limited by the word "about" cannot be determined: claims 1, 4, 11 and 14 (density); claims 3 and 13, (MI); claims 5 and 15 (MFR); claims 7 and 17 (Mz/Mw). Claims dependent on the aforementioned claims are also indefinite by dependency. The relative modifier "about" is accepted as a means to provide some flexibility about a specific value provided the meaning and scope is clear. However, in the aforementioned claims, the range without the word "about" is already so narrow that the intended flexibility about the stated end points cannot be determined. In one of the most extreme examples from the rejected claims, claim 4 requires a density of "about 0.917 to about 0.919." It cannot be determined whether the intended variability is in the second, third, or fourth decimal place. For example, by merely changing the third decimal by 0.001, the size of the claimed range potentially doubles; by changing the second decimal by 0.01, the size of the range potentially increases tenfold. Given the exceedingly narrow range of values claimed in the absence of the word "about," the scope of the claimed ranges cannot be determined when arbitrary changes to the stated endpoints result in enormous differences in the size of the ranges.

(b) In claims 21 and 22, it cannot be determined whether the food alone is subjected to repeated freeze/thaw cycles, or whether the item subjected to repeated freeze/thaw cycles includes food inside of a container which includes a lid.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,065 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ExxonMobil LLM-103 resin, stated by applicants to be commercially available at the time the invention was filed (see EXAMPLE at pg. 6 of the specification).

The polymer material is stated to meet all of the requirements of the invention as set forth in the summary of the invention (see specification at page 6, last paragraph). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use LLM-103 for the injection molding of articles because such use was conventional at the time the instant invention was made. As evidenced by the following documents, the use of LLDPE ethylene/hexene copolymer of the general type required in the claims was commonly used for the injection molding of articles, including the making of containers for food and freezer use. These references are not relied upon in combination with the primary reference material; rather, they are cited to support the position that ordinary knowledge of the art provides sufficient motivation to make injection molded articles from commercially available LLDPE ethylene/hexene copolymer.

US 6,552,138 (col. 1-3, 6, Examples 1-2)

WO-01/96419 (pp. 1-7)

Application/Control Number: 10/715,065

Art Unit: 1713

ExxonMobil Internet postings "Polyethylene Applications Overview" (2002) and "Linear Low Density Polyethylene Injection Molding Grades" (2003) at www. exxonmobilpe.com/Public Products/Polyethylene

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR September 13, 2005